

Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Study on Structures and Energetics of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ in Gas Phase

MASAO MASAMURA,¹ SHIGERU IKUTA²

¹Department of Preventive Dentistry, Okayama University Dental School, Shikata-cho, Okayama 700-8525, Japan

²Graduate School of Engineering, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

Received 1 December 1998; accepted 18 March 1999

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this article was to calculate the structures and energetics of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ in the gas phase: the maximum number of water molecules that can directly interact with the O of CH_3O^- ; and when n is larger, we asked how the CH_3O^- and CH_3S^- moiety of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ changes and how we can reproduce experimental $\Delta H_{n-1, n}^0$. Using the *ab initio* closed-shell self-consistent field method with the energy gradient technique, we carried out full geometry optimizations with the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ for $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and the MP2/6-31 + G(d,p) (for $n = 5, 6$). The structures of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) were fully optimized using MP2/6-31 + G(2d,2p). It is predicted that the $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ does not exist. We also performed vibrational analysis for all clusters [except $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$] at the optimized structures to confirm that all vibrational frequencies are real. Those clusters have all real vibrational frequencies and correspond to equilibrium structures. The results show that the above maximum number of water molecules for CH_3O^- is five in the gas phase. For $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, when n becomes larger, the C—O bond length becomes longer, the C—H bond lengths become smaller, the HCO bond angles become smaller, the charge on the hydrogen of CH_3 becomes more positive, and these values of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ approach the corresponding values of CH_3OH with the n increment. The C—O bond length of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$ is

Correspondence to: Dr. M. Masamura; e-mail: ep7@dent.okayama-u.ac.jp

longer than the C—O bond length of CH_3O^- in the gas phase by 0.044 Å at the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ level of theory. The structure of the CH_3S^- moiety in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ does not change with the n increment. © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Inc. J Comput Chem 20: 1138–1144, 1999

Keywords: *ab initio*; molecular orbital; structures; energetics; gas phase

Introduction

Many chemically and biologically important species exist in RO^- and RS^- in aqueous solution. For example, many important drugs exist in RO^- in aqueous solution. The compounds related to the protection of DNA from radiation damage exist in RS^- in aqueous solution. However, at the present stage of experimentation, it is impossible to determine the geometries of RO^- and RS^- in aqueous solution. As the initial step in determining the geometries of RO^- and RS^- in aqueous solution, the structures and energies of CH_3O^- and CH_3S^- with water molecules in the gas phase are interesting.

However, for CH_3O^- , only $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ was investigated by many theoretical researchers. The acidities and deprotonation energies were calculated.¹ The geometry and energy were calculated using 6–31G(d).² Calculated and experimental hydrogen bond strengths were compared.³ The geometry and energy were calculated using 6–31 + G(d).⁴ The full optimizations were performed using STO-3G, 3–21G, 6–31G(d), MP2/6–31G(d), 6–31 + G(d), and MP2/6–31 + G(d).⁵ Fully optimized structures, normal vibrational modes, and thermodynamic functions were obtained at the MP2/6–311 + + G(d,p) level.⁶ A number of density functional methodologies were systematically examined for their ability to describe strong hydrogen bonds.⁷ Experimentally, the following were performed: the thermochemistry of the mixed water–methanol anionic clusters $[(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})_m - \text{H}]^-$ was determined,⁸ the relative acidities of water and methanol were measured,⁹ and $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ was analyzed using x-ray studies of the crystal.¹⁰ In aqueous solution, the Monte Carlo calculation shows that five water molecules strongly bond the O of CH_3O^- in aqueous solution.⁴ For $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, the geometry of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ was optimized using the 6–31G(d)² and 6–31 + G(d) basis sets.⁴ The interaction en-

ergy and interatomic distances for the thiolate–one water complex were calculated using STO-3G, 3–21G, 6–31G(d), 6–31 + (d), MP2/6–31G(d), MP2/6–31 + G(d), PM3, and AM1.⁵ The structures and energetics of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 1\text{--}4$) were calculated using ROHF/6–31G(d).¹¹ Experimentally, $\Delta H_{n-1,n}^0$ for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4$) were measured.¹² In aqueous solution, the Monte Carlo calculation shows that six water molecules strongly bond the S of CH_3S^- .⁴

The purpose of this article was to calculate the structure and energy (enthalpy) of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ in the gas phase: the maximum number of water molecules that can directly interact with the O of CH_3O^- ; when n is larger, we asked how the CH_3O^- and CH_3S^- moiety of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ change, and how we can reproduce $\Delta H_{n-1,n}^0$.

Methods

Using the *ab initio* closed-shell self-consistent field (SCF) method with the energy gradient technique, we carried out full geometry optimization with the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ for $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and CH_3OH in Figure 1. The frozen core was used. In general, MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ is reliable for such systems. $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n \geq 4$) was not fully optimized with MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ because of a huge amount of CPU time. Therefore, to predict the above maximum number of water molecules, the structures of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 5, 6$) were fully optimized using MP2/6–31 + G(d,p).

For $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$, the structures were fully optimized using MP2/6–31 + + G(2d,2p) (for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$). $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n \geq 4$) were not fully optimized using MP2/6–31 + + G(2d,2p) because of the huge amount of CPU time necessary.

We also performed vibrational analysis for all clusters at the optimized structures to confirm that all vibrational frequencies are real.





FIGURE 1. The structures of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$) and CH_3OH .

The energies were calculated using MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ for $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and MP2/6-31++G(2d,2p) for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$. The energy changes ($\Delta E_{n-1,n}$) of



were calculated by the following formula:

$$\Delta E_{n-1,n} = E(\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n) - E(\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{n-1}) - E(\text{H}_2\text{O}).$$

The enthalpy changes ($\Delta H_{n-1,n}^{298\text{K}}$) were also calculated by a similar formula, and basis set superposition error (BSSE) was also corrected using the counterpoise method.¹³

$$\Delta H^{298\text{K}} = \Delta E_e^0 + \Delta E_v^0 + \Delta(\Delta E_v^{298\text{K}} + \Delta E_r^{298\text{K}} + \Delta E_t^{298\text{K}} + \Delta(\text{PV})),$$

where ΔE_e^0 is the electronic energy change, ΔE_v^0 is the change in the zero-point energy, $\Delta(\Delta E_v^{298\text{K}})$ is the change in the vibrational energy on going to 298 K, and the remaining quantities are for the changes in rotational and translational energy and the work term that were treated classically. Similar calculations were performed for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$.

For the evaluation of the reliability of MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ, the following calculations were performed: because the proton affinity difference between CH_3O^- and OH^- is important, the proton affinity difference evaluated by MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ was compared with the experimentally determined proton affinity difference; the enthalpy changes ($\Delta H_{n-1,n}^{298\text{K}}$) in MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ were compared with the experimental $\Delta H_{n-1,n}^0$. Similar comparisons were made for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$.

For the analysis of atomic electron populations, the natural population analysis was used.¹⁴

We used the Gaussian 94¹⁵ and Gaussian 98¹⁶ programs and the SP2, HSP, HPC, and SX-5 computers at the Institute for Molecular Science. We also used the Gaussian 94 program and SP2 computer in the Computer Center of Tokyo Metropolitan University.

Results

All clusters [except $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$] have all real vibrational frequencies and correspond to equilibrium structures.

$\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$

The proton affinity differences evaluated by MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ ($\Delta E = 7.4$ kcal/mol) is close to the experimentally determined proton affinity difference ($\Delta H = 9.5$ kcal/mol).⁹

Table I shows the $-\Delta H_{n-1,n}^{298\text{K}}$ in MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ to be equal to the experimental $-\Delta H_{n-1,n}^0$.⁸ The counterpoise corrected values are worse than the uncorrected values, which agrees with ref. 17. This is true for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$. Table II shows literature data for $-\Delta E$ and $-\Delta H$ in $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$. Our results agree with MP2/6-31++G(d) and MP2/6-311++G(d,p) calculations and experimental data.

Figure 1 shows the structures. There are some similarities between the $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ and $\text{OH}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$).¹⁸ The following findings are true for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$.

1. $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$: $\text{H}_4\text{—C}_2\text{—O}_1\cdots\text{H}_6\text{—O}_7\text{—H}_8$ nearly forms a plane. (In the MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ, the $\text{H}_6\text{O}_1\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ torsional angle is 7.3° , the $\text{O}_7\text{H}_6\text{O}_1$ bond angle is 182.3° , and the $\text{H}_8\text{O}_7\text{H}_6\text{O}_1$ torsional angle is -16.3°).
2. $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$): The hydrogen bond between water molecules exists. The

TABLE I.
Enthalpy Change ($-\Delta H_{n-1,n}^{298K}$) for
 $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ Cluster with MP2 / aug-cc-pVDZ.

n	MP2 / aug-cc-pVDZ			Experiment ^a $-\Delta H_{n-1,n}^0$ (kcal / mol)
	E_t^b (hartree)	$-\Delta E_{n-1,n}$ (kcal / mol)	$-\Delta H_{n-1,n}^{298K}$ (kcal / mol)	
CH_3OH	-115.42193			
0	-114.80626			
1	-191.10758	25.4	24.4 (22.3) ^c	23.9
2	-267.40249	21.3	19.3 (17.3)	19.2
3	-343.69355	18.9	16.8 (14.7)	14.8

^aFrom ref. 8.

^b $E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = -76.26091$.

^cBSSE corrected value.

hydrogen bonds between water molecules are important factors for forming clusters.

$\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6$ does not exist because the sixth water molecule invariably migrated to the second

solvent shell during the optimization, leading to a structure best represented as $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})$.

Tables III and IV show the changes in structural parameters and charges on the atoms in $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ with each n increment. When n becomes larger, the C—O bond length becomes longer, the C—H bond lengths become smaller, the HCO bond angles become smaller, the charge on the hydrogen of CH_3 becomes more positive, and these values of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ approach the corresponding values of CH_3OH with the n increment.

For the C—O bond length of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$, our results disagree with 6-31G(d) and 6-31G(d)+p calculations.

$\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$

The proton affinity difference evaluated by MP2/6-31 + + G(2d,2p) ($\Delta E = 33.1$ kcal/mol) is nearly equal to the experimentally determined proton affinity difference ($\Delta H = 34.5$ kcal/mol).¹⁹

TABLE II.
Literature Data for $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ (kcal / mol).

Cluster	Reference	Basis Set	$-\Delta E$	$-\Delta H$
$\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$	1	6-31(d)	25.2	
		6-311(d)	23.7	
		6-311G(d,p) + p	21.7	
		[5s4p1d / 3s1p]	22.3	
	2	6-31G(d)	25.4	
		6-31 + G(d)	21.8	
		MP2 / 6-31 + G(d)	25.4	
	3	3-21G	37.2	
		MP2 / 6-31G(d)	29.7	
	4	6-31 + G(d)	20.4	
	5	MP2 / 6-31 + G(d)	26.4	24.3
	6	MP2 / 6-311 + + G(d,p)		24.7
	7	MP2 / 6-311 + + G(d,p)	26.0	
		B3-LYP / 6-311 + + G(d,p)	25.1	
		B-LYP / 6-311 + + G(d,p)	24.3	
		B-LYP / 6-31 + + G(d,p)	25.2	
		B-LYP / 6-31 + G(d,p)	25.9	
		B-LYP / TZVP +	25.7	
		B-LYP / TZVP	27.9	
		B-LYP / DNPP	28.0	
	This study	MP2 / aug-cc-pVDZ	25.4	24.4
		MP2 / 6-31 + + G(d)	26.3	24.8
$\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})$	Experiment			23.9
	4	6-31 + G(d)	11.6	
	5	MP2 / 6-31 + G(d)	17.1	13.0
	This study	MP2 / 6-31 + + G(2d,2p)	16.1	14.8
	Experiment			15.0

TABLE III.
MP2 / aug-cc-pVDZ Optimized Structure of
CH₃O⁻(H₂O)_n Cluster.

<i>n</i>	C—O	C—H	HCO
0	1.361	1.138	115.1
1	1.383 (1.333) (1.348) (1.330) ^c	1.124 (1.117) (1.110)	113.6 (115.2) ^a (114.3) ^b
2	1.395	1.118	112.7
3	1.405	1.114	112.1
CH ₃ OH	1.435	1.101	110.0

The values are mean values. Bond lengths are in angstroms,
and the bond angles are in degrees.
^a6-31G(d) calculation.¹
^b6-31G(d) + p calculation.¹
^c6-31G(d) calculation.²

TABLE IV.
Charge on Atoms of CH₃O⁻(H₂O)_n Cluster Using
MP2 / aug-cc-pVDZ.

<i>n</i>	O ₁	C ₂	H ₃	H ₄	H ₅
0	-1.019	-0.177	0.065	0.065	0.065
1	-0.973	-0.195	0.098	0.114	0.098
2	-0.962	-0.205	0.128	0.127	0.115
3	-0.960	-0.211	0.138	0.138	0.138
CH ₃ OH	-0.770	-0.223	0.188	0.167	0.167

TABLE V.
Enthalpy Change (−Δ*H*_{*n*−1,*n*}^{298K})
for CH₃S⁻(H₂O)_n Cluster with MP2 / 6-31++G(2d,2p).

<i>n</i>	MP2 / 6-31++G(2d,2p)			Experiment ^a
	<i>E</i> _t ^b (hartree)	−Δ <i>E</i> _{<i>n</i>−1,<i>n</i>} (kcal / mol)	−Δ <i>H</i> _{<i>n</i>−1,<i>n</i>} ^{298K} (kcal / mol)	−Δ <i>H</i> _{<i>n</i>−1,<i>n</i>} ⁰ (kcal / mol)
CH ₃ SH	−438.02396			
0	−437.44745			
1	−513.73688	16.1 (14.3) ^d (12.8) ^e	14.8 (13.1) ^c	15.0
2	−590.02389	14.6 (12.9) (12.1)	13.2 (11.8)	13.5
3	−666.31080	14.5 (11.8) (11.2)	11.8 (9.8)	11.1

^aFrom ref. 12.
^b*E*(H₂O) = −76.26379.
^cBSSE corrected value.
^d6-31G(d) calculation.¹¹
^e6-31+G(d) calculation.¹¹

Table V shows that the −Δ*H*_{*n*−1,*n*}^{298K} in MP2/6-31++G(2d,2p) is equal to the experimental −Δ*H*_{*n*−1,*n*}⁰.¹² Table II shows that our result is better than the MP2/6-31++G(d) calculation.

Figure 2 shows the structures. Tables VI and VII show that the structure of the CH₃S⁻ moiety in the CH₃S⁻(H₂O)_n structure does not depend on the number of *n*.

Discussion

CH₃O⁻(H₂O)_n

It is predicted that the cause for the shortening of the C—O bond in CH₃O⁻ in the gas phase is negative hyperconjugation (H⁻CH₂=O).²⁰ Because the C—O bond length of CH₃O⁻(H₂O)_n becomes longer with each *n* increment, it is predicted that the negative hyperconjugation decreases with the *n* increment. The C—O bond length of CH₃O⁻(H₂O)₃ is 0.044 Å longer than the C—O bond length of CH₃O⁻ in the gas phase.

The results showed that the maximum number of water molecules that can directly interact with the O of CH₃O⁻ is five in the gas phase. It is noteworthy that five water molecules strongly bond to the O of CH₃O⁻ in aqueous solution using the Monte Carlo calculation.




FIGURE 2. The structures of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and CH_3SH .

TABLE VI.
MP2/6-31++G(2d,2p) Optimized Structure of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ Cluster.

n	C—S	C—H	HCS
0	1.842	1.094	111.7
1	1.842	1.093	111.3
2	1.842	1.092	111.0
3	1.834	1.091	110.9
CH_3SH	1.826	1.086	109.5

The values are mean values. The bond lengths are in angstroms, and the bond angles are in degrees.

TABLE VII.
Charge on Atoms of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ Cluster Using MP2/6-31++G(2d,2p).

n	S_1	C_2	H_3	H_4	H_5
0	-0.756	-0.784	0.180	0.180	0.180
1	-0.698	-0.779	0.186	0.193	0.187
2	-0.692	-0.776	0.198	0.200	0.192
3	-0.733	-0.764	0.197	0.197	0.197
CH_3SH	-0.039	-0.782	0.238	0.231	0.231

$\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$

The characteristics of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ are different than those of $\text{CH}_3\text{O}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$. Because the C—S bond length of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$ is close to that of CH_3SH , it is predicted that the negative hyperconjugation ($\text{H}^-\text{SH}_2=\text{O}$) does not exist in the CH_3S^- moiety of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})_n$.

Full optimized structural parameters are available from MM (free of charge).

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their advice on improving this article. We are grateful to the Institute for Molecular Science for the use of computer time and the Gaussian 94 and Gaussian 98 programs. We are grateful to the Computer Center of Tokyo Metropolitan University for the use of the Gaussian 94 program, the SP2 computer, and technical advice.

References

- Ikuta, S. *J Comput Chem* 1984, 4, 374.
- Gao, J.; Garner, D. S.; Jorgensen, W. L. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986, 108, 4784.
- Williams, I. H. *J Am Chem Soc* 1987, 109, 6299.
- Jorgensen, W. L.; Briggs, J. M. *J Am Chem Soc* 1989, 111, 4190.
- Zheng, Y.-J.; Merz, K. M. Jr. *J Comput Chem* 1992, 9, 1151.
- Pudzianowski, A. T. *J Chem Phys* 1995, 102, 8029.
- Pudzianowski, A. T. *J Phys Chem* 1996, 100, 4781.
- Meot-Ner, M. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986, 108, 6189.
- Meot-Ner, M.; Sieck, L. W. *J Phys Chem* 1986, 90, 6687.
- Bino, A. *J Am Chem Soc* 1987, 109, 275.
- Colson, A.-O.; Sevilla, M. D. *J Phys Chem* 1994, 98, 10484.
- Sieck, L. W.; Meot-Ner, M. *J Phys Chem* 1989, 93, 1586.
- Boys, S. F.; Bernardi, F. *Mol Phys* 1970, 19, 553.
- Reed, A. E.; Weinstock, R. B.; Weinhold, F. *J Chem Phys* 1985, 83, 735.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. W.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, W. M.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 94*; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1995.

16. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A. Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 98: Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
17. Davidson, E. R.; Chakravorty, S. J. *Chem Phys Lett* 1994, 217, 48.
18. Masamura, M. Manuscript submitted for publication to *Theochem*.
19. Bartmaess, J. E.; McIver, R. T. In *Gas Phase Ion Chemistry*, Bowers, M. T., Ed.; Academic: New York, 1979; 87–121.
20. Wiberg, K. B. *J Am Chem Soc* 1990, 112, 3379.